

**December 20, 2015**

**Are Khazars Really Genetically Descended of Edomites? Prove it. What's your authority? Can Your Theory Stand Up to Fair-minded Examination?**

The above was a question someone emailed. This person knows the Khazar-jews are and have been behind the world's ills for the past several hundred years, but is not a Christian and is wanting to see evidence that the Khazars are indeed Canaanites. I sent the email reply to him and out to my email group and posted at my website with the comments:

Truth is relative because the average person imagines truth is what he decides that it is, and therefore, fair-mindedness is a fallacy.

I see you still have not read the book on Philosophy by Gordon Clark. Read it. Very little, if anything can be "proven", even in science. I explain this in detail in my book, *Does God Repent?* Very little can be proved. Those who think they can prove one doctrine or historical fact do not understand the meaning of "prove" or "proof" and do not even understand logical thought. What we do is amass evidence and make a judgement call on which evidence we decide is more convincing (and such decisions are often the result of deception, as well as bias or emotionally wanting to believe it is true).

The relativity of truth begins with what one accepts as authority. Pilate himself recognized the dilemma when he declared, "What is truth?"

Is the Bible the Word of God or just nice literature and philosophy? Can one who has only scratched the surface of studying the Bible determine whether it is or isn't truth? That's sort of like the pseudo-intellectual (and subversive) politicians and lawyers today thinking that they better know the meaning of the U.S. Constitution than those men who penned it. Does someone who has read all the works of Shakespeare 200 times understand it better than one who

has only read a play or two, half-heartedly, once...? Of course. However, beyond that, the Word of God is spiritual truth and God has blinded the minds of all and opens only the minds of the elect who are in submission to Him, and the Holy Spirit of Truth then leads into the Truth. If a person is unconverted (or if a person is truly converted, yet trusts in the power of his flesh and his own mind) he may well be able to understand a lot of information in the Bible, and a lot of facts—but not TRUTH. God resisteth the proud and given Grace to the humble. Without faith it is impossible to please God and that faith does not originate in man, but is a gift of the Holy Spirit (part of the “fruit package” of the Fruit of the Spirit) given to the elect whom God fore-ordained before the foundation of the world. If one truly recognizes this sobering truth, it would cause him to fall on his face before God, in awe of His Greatness and power, and humbly beg to be of those whom God has chosen unto salvation through the Blood of Christ. Humble yourselves in the Sight of the Lord and He shall lift you up. The wind bloweth where it listeth (chooses)... so is everyone that is born of the Spirit. Ye have not chosen Me, but I have chosen you. “And all the inhabitants of the earth *are* reputed as nothing: and He doeth according to His Will in the army of heaven, and *among* the inhabitants of the earth: and none can stay His Hand, or [rightfully] say unto Him, What doest Thou?” (Daniel 4:35) Without faith it is impossible to please God. Those who come to God must believe that He is [that He is God and that everything that He has said is true] and that He is a rewarder of those who diligently seek Him. If any man lack wisdom, let him ask of God who giveth to all men [whom He has elected] liberally and upbraideth not. But let him ask in faith, nothing wavering. For he that wavereth is like a wave of the sea driven with the wind and tossed. For let not that man think that he shall receive any thing of the Lord. Humility is the starting point, recognizing God as the Source of truth, and submitting to Him—not imagining God to be how man wants God to be: a senile old grandfather in the nursing home who just

sits there and reminisces unless someone comes to see him; and though he is always glad to have a visitor, since his mind has turned to pudding, he can't separate dream from reality, so he has no clue when someone really actually visited him last. This is not the God of the Bible. The God of the Bible is Supreme and not Passive. Everything is as He determined it would be and those who do not believe that believe in a false god.

The internal logic of the Bible is flawless once you recognize its authority to establish premises, from which, all its conclusions and inferences are in perfect harmony, if one truly interprets it consistently; which my books show how to do.

The simple Foundational Rules of Biblical Interpretation (Hermaneutics) are:

1. God cannot change His Mind, lie, make a mistake, have a better thought, forget what He said, break His Promise, contradict Himself, etc.; therefore—
2. God's Word cannot change or contradict itself; therefore—
3. If there appears to be a contradiction, there is not; it is a misreading / misinterpretation and the reader is confused and needs to search for the true meaning using former Scripture to interpret Scripture. What God says first sets the Standard by which all that follows is to be interpreted (unless God gives specific indication that certain elements of what He said are conditional, in which, nothing changes, but follows one of several different paths which He declared).

[St. Augustine said, "The New is in the Old contained (or concealed), the Old is in the New explained (or revealed)" (depending upon the translation). Furthermore a lot of what is contained in the Bible has its roots in events of the day to which we are not privy unless we do a little deeper research. If you found a trunk in the attic full of old letters that your great-grandfather had written to his son, you certainly could not understand all that he talked about unless you did a little family history and history of the time-period of events about which he may speak, from time to time. Similarly, reading some of the more erudite authors of the past 4 or 5 centuries, who were classical

scholars, they will often refer to characters of mythology, in that, the persona of that character, or the setting of the mythological event, parallels a truth, by way of analogy, that the author (such as Martin Luther) is explaining. Likewise, authors of previous eras, who were well versed in multiple languages, would commonly use certain Latin, Greek, French, Italian, or other words which have a more rich and precise meaning that they are trying to convey—without giving a translation of what those words mean for the modern reader. Therefore, in order for a modern reader to understand fully what some writer of a former era may be writing, the reader has to research foreign language and even mythology in order to truly grasp what the writer is saying. The lazy reader will not do this, but will just “guess” what the writer may be saying, and most Christians who read the Bible are lazy “scholars”, and often simply accept as truth a spurious interpretation of an equally lazy “scholar” who, however, happens to be more adept at “spinning yarn”.]

Regardless. Q. Are the people in the land of Palestine today God’s Chosen people, the ancient Israelites, or are they Khazars? I know you realize that they are Khazars and not Israelites, and I know you realize that the Khazars or “Jews” have been and are behind the majority of the world’s ills, evil, and conspiracies for the past several centuries. You are wanting a concrete link to demonstrate that the Khazars themselves descended from the Edomites.

Well, the Edomites descend from Jacob’s brother Esau. God changed Jacob’s name to “Israel” and Esau’s nickname was Edom, which means, “red”. Jacob and Esau were both pure Hebrew-Adamites, and both ruddy Caucasians. Esau was born hairy, with red hair. But that is not whence his nickname derived. Jacob (by God’s ordination) took advantage of Esau in a weak moment, and Esau willingly bartered away his inheritance for a bowl of beans. Esau had come back from hunting and was famished. But he did not need to barter away his inheritance; certainly there was other food he could have eaten. He could have equally bargained with Jacob and said, “Nice try brother,

but if you don't share your beans I won't share my venison. Certainly Esau's mother would have made Esau some bread had he asked, and if Esau was an accomplished hunter, certainly he knew how to fix himself some grub. The point is, the frivolousness of the story shows, as Scripture also expresses, how little Esau cared about his heritage and inheritance. Esau was godless. He violated God's and his parent's law against marrying outside the race and married two Canaanite women (a Hittite and a Hivite). His descendants became known as Edomites. But, those beans which he bartered his inheritance away for were red beans or lentils called "pottage", and when Esau asked for some of Jacob's beans, he did not ask for beans in the way you or I would. In keeping with a peculiarity of Hebrew speech, and said, literally, "feed me with that very red". The word red #122 aw-DOME (long o) means "rosy" and is from the very same root that the name Adam is from (which root means "to blush, flush, show blood in the face, be rosy, ruddy". Scripture tells us, in Genesis 25:

<sup>29</sup>And Jacob sod pottage: and Esau came from the field, and he was faint: <sup>30</sup>And Esau said to Jacob, Feed me, I pray thee, with that same red *pottage*; for I *am* faint: therefore was his name called Edom."

Esau was stigmatized by this base despising of his heritage and inheritance (for more details, see my S.T.E.C. on Genesis / Judges). The inheritance he bartered away was not his entire inheritance, but the right of the firstborn. The firstborn son received a double portion of the inheritance, split among him and his brothers. However, Esau despised his inheritance because he hated his own heritage and God. He joined with his pagan wives and their gods and customs. He lived as a pirate (even killing all his in-laws and making his sons their Dukes and then Kings) and stole from people what he wanted; so he had no need of an extra share of inheritance. Several decades later when Jacob was returning from northern Syria, Esau came to meet him with an army of 400 men! The New Testament refers to Esau as a "fornica-

tor” and a “profane” person. Thus, we see that Esau’s marrying out of the race is a form of adultery and fornication. However, the Greek word translated “profane” actually means “to cross a threshold” and thus further establishes Esau’s sin in crossing the boundary of race that God established, by marrying Canaanite women. Esau then adopted the customs of the Canaanites. It seems quite reasonable to infer that the reason the Jews determine the legitimacy of what the Jews consider to be a Jew, through the mother’s bloodlines, because Esau married into them and the Canaanite blood predominates since it is dominant (corrupt; whenever a pure solution and an impure solution are mixed, the result is always an impure solution). However, though the Jews only consider the children to be Jews if the mother is a Jew, in reality, all descendents of a Jew are Jews. That is a clever ploy they use in claiming someone is not a Jew, and thus enables that Jew to enter Caucasian society, after a few generations of “white washing” to hide “the show of their countenance”. However, according to God’s Law, both parents have to be pure Israelites (or pure proto-Israelites or their kin: Hebrews, Adamites, etc.) or the offspring are bastards to all generations. However, though both parents must be pure in order for someone to be a pure Israelite, it is the father’s bloodline that determines the tribe (even as the father’s bloodline determines the clan and tartan among the Scots). So, the neurosis of Jews claiming that a child is only a Jew if the mother is a Jew, seems to clearly find its origin in the fact Esau married into the Canaanite women’s family and their polluted customs predominated.

The entire book of Obadiah is an end-time prophecy against the Edomites. One of the last books of the Bible, Zephaniah ends with the jubilation: “There shall be no more the Canaanite in the House of the Lord”—which presupposes that they are now there and do not belong.

In Matthew 13, Christ said that an enemy sowed tares (children of the wicked *one*)—noxious weeds that look like wheat in the early stages—in among the wheat (referring back to the Garden of Eden).

Christ said that when He returns He will remove the tares; uproot every plant that His Heavenly Father had not planted; and remove all those things that offend; that He will have the imposters bow before His true people and confess that it is *us* whom God loves, not them, the synagogue of Satan.

If the people known as Jews are Khazars (as Arthur Koestler, a Jew, demonstrated in his book, *The Thirteenth Tribe*—for which he received death-threats from his fellow-Jews), and since they occupy the land of Israel and claim to be in possession of His Temple and God’s Word, and since God says that at the end of this age after He removes all those things that offend, that there will no more be the Canaanite in the House of the Lord, then the Khazars who are there are clearly those people.

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* itself recorded (newer editions have probably expunged it, but I personally saw it in a 1925 edition at a large university library in Maryland in 1989), “Edom is in modern Jewry.”

Many Rabbis\* have said that Talmudism is the lifeblood of the Jews and they trace their line unbroken from the Pharisees and Talmudism is Phariseeism. Not all Pharisees were Edomites, but after some point in time (like politics and law today) they predominated.

[\* See A Greater “Miracle” Than The Lost Ten Tribes Discovered... —the dead Six Million Uncovered..., Clèraubat.]

The following is one historical quote of man from my new book, *Who Was the Serpent in the Garden?*

“In his *Antiquities of the Jews* (XIII ix 1; XV vii 9) the Hebrew historian Flavius Josephus (who lived shortly after the time of Christ) reveals that Maccabee leader John Hyrcanus forcibly assimilated the Edomites into Israel and they became ‘Jews’ c.120 B. C. : ‘They [Edom] were hereafter no other than Jews.’

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* (3rd edition; 1925) records, “Edom is in modern Jewry.” (Vol. 5, p.41)

and

“CHAZARS [Khazars]: A people of Turkish origin whose life and history are interwoven with the very beginnings of the history of the Jews of Russia. The kingdom of the Chazars was firmly established in most of South Russia long before the foundation of the Russian monarchy by the Varangians\* (855). Jews have lived on the shores of the Black and Caspian seas since the first centuries of the common era [after the death of Christ]. Historical evidence points to the region of the Ural as the home of the Chazars. Among the classical writers of the Middle Ages they were known as the ‘Chozars,’ ‘Khazirs,’ ‘Akatzirs,’ and ‘Akatzirs,’ and in the Russian chronicles as ‘Khwalisses’ and ‘Ugry Byelyye.’...” and “Khazars, a non-Semitic, Asiatic, Mongolian tribal nation who emigrated into Eastern Europe about the first century, who were converted as an entire nation to Judaism in the seventh century by the expanding Russian nation which absorbed the entire Khazar population, and who account for the presence in Eastern Europe of the great numbers of Yiddish-speaking Jews in Russia, Poland, Lithuania, Galatia, Bessarabia and Rumania.”

[\* The Varangians were the Norse (primarily Swedish) Rus, who founded the Russian and Kievan State, under the leadership of Rurik the Great (from whom I am descended), who had been invited by the Slavs to be their chieftain.]

The Jews are not Semitic. The Jews did not descend from Shem. The Jews descend from Ham’s son Canaan who was born of incest, who was cursed and went out and married a woman descended from Cain (as I show in my book *What Was the Mark that God Placed on Cain?*).

After John Hyrcanus force-converted the Edomites, the Edomites were circumcized, adopted Israelite custom, and began intermarrying with Israel. The land of Israel was already filled with vile peoples and Israel was in the minority, since after the deportations of 722-586 B.C. the Kings of Babylon and Assyria had moved in pagan peoples—and Canaanite tribes on their own moved back in (to reclaim the land from which their ancestors had been dispossessed), and when the small remnant from Babylon returned, they had to fight just to rebuilt the walls and Temple in Jerusalem.



By Christ's day, the land of Israel was a cosmopolitan melting pot, a mixed multitude, which is why Christ spoke in parables, because the truth was only meant for His people and the Holy Spirit would open their ears and eyes and hearts to hear, understand, see, and believe.

“All these things spake Jesus unto the multitude in parables; and without a parable spake He not unto them.” (Matthew 13:34)

The Jews in the land of Israel bear no characteristics to ancient Israel, they are merely in possession of the land and all that was in the land (the artifacts) because they invaded and took it over—just like the 3rd world is invading Christendom: and in a few generations, if it does not stop, people who are uneducated in the truth will think Swedes were always Muslim Arabs, that the U.S. was founded by black africans, and that Germany was founded by Turks.

Koestler failed to trace the Khazars back one step farther to the Edomite-Canaanites.

Similarly, London attorney Sharon Turner (he established himself as the foremost authority on the Anglo-Saxons by his multi-volume series 1,200 or so page History of the Anglo-Saxons\*1) and he traced the Anglo-Saxons back to the very spot where the Israelites were deposited in their captivity. But he failed to trace them back one step further even though he did write\*2 that the Danes and Jutes were “Jews” (that is, true Judah); and if the Danes and Jutes are Israel, then so are all their brothers and cousins, the Germans, Swedes, etc.

[\*1 —which I reprint, plastic-comb-bound, but would reprint in paperback if there is enough interest.

\*2 —as I show in my Uncovering the Mysteries of Your Hidden Inheritance. I also show therein, that “coincidentally” the million of Israelites, when their captivity ended, migrated northwest and “disappeared” from history at the same time that the Anglo-Saxons, “appeared out of nowhere” in Europe.]

If the Khazars were not Edomites, they would not have such a hatred for God's true people. Their hatred would be merely the same as all non-white nations—but in truth, the

nonwhite nations would not hate us nearly as much, had not the Jews stirred them up to hate us. The blacks in South Africa, as reported by former member of parliament, the Irishman Sir Michael Davitt (who resigned in protest of the Boer War) in his book *The Boer Fight For Freedom*,\*) during the time of all the “abuses” that the whites were supposedly committing against the blacks... the blacks said that they did not even know what their grievances were until they read about them in the papers. Davitt reported that English and German Jews were the ones who stirred up all the trouble, because they wanted to gain control of the gold and diamonds.

[\* —which I reprint, plastic-comb-bound, but would reprint in paperback if there is enough interest.]

Likewise in the U.S.... everything was fine until the Jewish communists told the blacks they were “equals” and were being persecuted. The blacks were treated far better under American Slavery, than they were treated in Africa, by their own chieftains who sold them to the Jewish slave traders.

The same subterfuge was carried out by the Jews in all the former Colonies that the whites had in any nation.

Why would the Jews—if the Khazars are not Edomites—hate white Christians with such a burning passion and have attempted to destroy them over the past 200 years, even as God said the synagogue of Satan, the seed of the Serpent would do (once Esau threw off the yoke of his brother Jacob)...? It is the continuation of the perpetual warfare begun in the garden of eden and which will continue until Christ returns to crush the head of the Serpent with its fatal death blow and uproot all those things that offend.

Also, a correction from the other day when I said that Turkey had accepted over 100,000 Jews when they were expelled from Spain in the late 1490s by Isabella and Ferdinand—it was 300,000.

The info above is merely a brief smattering of “connect the dots”; there is much more information for those who want to dig deeper, in my books and elsewhere.

“Professor Leonard J. Fein (political scientist who spoke at the Reform Rabbis’ meeting at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) disclosed, “We are not white symbolically, we are not white literally... we should not permit ourselves to be lumped together with white America, for that is not where we belong.” (*Jewish Post & Opinion*, June 28, 1968)

[from p.147, A Greater “Miracle” Than The Lost Ten Tribes Discovered... —the dead Six Million Uncovered...<sup>®</sup> Clèraubat.]

As I wrote in my A Bolshevik Primer:<sup>®</sup>

“Arthur Koestler, and those before him, showed that the Jews are not Israelites, but Khazars, who are Turko-Mongoloid.

“\* Professor, Col. John Beaty, in his Iron Curtain Over America (1951),<sup>®</sup> Benjamin Freedman in his, Facts Are Facts,<sup>®</sup> and H. G. Wells, in his The Outline of History (1920).

“In 1492 when the Jews were forced to “convert” or be expelled from Spain, 300,000 Sephardic Jews spread throughout the Mediterranean and Sultan Beyazit II. of Turkey welcomed the Jews with open arms. Oddly enough, the Jews had flourished in Spain under centuries of Moslem rule, after the fall of the Visigothic Empire (721). Turkey was also one of the first nations to recognize the State of Israeli.”

[<sup>®</sup> denotes books available listed in the booklist in the back of this book.]

As I wrote in my detailed historical introduction to my reprinting of Marx’s Communist Manifesto:<sup>®</sup>

“H(erbert). G(eorge). Wells (1866-1946), the famous English writer (history, political science, etc.), in his famous and best-selling, 3-volume, Outlines of History\* (1920), stated that the Hebrew claim to being God’s chosen people was an attractive idea to other peoples who soon capitalized on the idea.

[\* However, in time it seems that Mr. Wells “had his price,” and “sold out,” later revising and re-writing this history. Interestingly, he also later wrote 2 books titled, The Open Conspiracy: Blue Prints For A World Revolution (1928), 271pp. and The New World Order: Whether

It Is Attainable, How It Can Be Attained, and What Sort of World a World at Peace Will Have To Be (1940), 145pp. (inquire). In these books he promoted a totalitarian, communist state as the best form of government.]

He wrote:

“It affected the mixed peoples who had been placed in Samaria... It inspired a great number of Babylonians and the like to claim Abraham as their father, and thrust their company upon [the remnant of Judah who returned to the land of Israel; these]... Ammonites and Moabites became adherents.” [Brackets mine. R.A.B.]

[That is, when the very small remnant of Israelites (less than 2%) of the House of Judah (not to be confused with the people known as Jews) returned from their captivity in Babylon, a “mixed multitude” came out of Babylon with them. Furthermore, during Israel’s 70 years’ absence from the land of Israel, in their captivity, the Kings of Assyria and Babylon “force bussed” in a dozen different foreign peoples to populate the vacant land, and additionally, many of the vile Canaanite peoples whom the Israelites had evicted a half millennia earlier, moved back into the land in Israel’s absence. It is this cosmopolitan amalgamation of vile peoples who came to be known as Jews, who were mostly Canaanite (and primarily Edomite), mixed with various other peoples, such as Ammonites and Moabites and other polluted peoples such as Midianites, Ishmaelites (Arabs), Babylonians and even some black African and Oriental blood. Many of these peoples were originally pure (Midianites, Ishmaelites, and some Babylonians, especially the later Chaldeans who descended from the north and conquered and re-vitalized that empire); but relatively early in their history, they intermingled with the corrupt peoples around them and God forever cursed them and commanded His people to never mingle or integrate or intermarry with them; and to never allow them to hold any position of authority over them in society, church, or state. When we obeyed these commands, God blessed our Christian Republics, but when we turned from obedience to God to “learn the way of the heathen,” we reap what we sow—as God promised, the enemy among us became the head and made us the tail and all God’s curses that He

would have put upon them, He now puts upon us until we repent (see Leviticus 26 and Deuteronomy 28).]”

Who is Esau-Edom? by Charles A Weisman, again, points out that the *Jewish Encyclopedia* of 1905 revealed that the main stock of the Jews came from the Asiatic people known as the Khazars.