(From a website maintained by a Jew who claims he is a Christian, but nevertheless he is doing exactly what Jews love to do, which is control the discourse or the argument no matter what the position is. Whether or not he is a REAL Christian is a matter for God to decide, for "anything is possible with God" (though today's "Jews" are not by any stretch descendants of the tribe of Judah, but rather the descendants of Canaanites. The most virulent of them are descended from Edomites, and have a strong dosage Cainite/satanic blood/genetics, which naturally makes them the liars, thieves and murderers they are). This would disqualify them from being a part of God's kingdom. Be that as it may, the material is for the most part on point. Afterward are comments from a REAL honest to God Christian patriot and author and editor of many Christian books.)

Future generations by 2020 with far-sighted vision will look back and hardly see that the 'Jewish Question' has left a few with troubling consternation.

Not that the question of Jewish unbelief is even relevant ... nonetheless, it's worth considering for future generations to reminisce.

Jews in our post-gentile modern world don't believe in anything. They don't even make a show of believing in God let alone making a pretense of it.

Jews are atheists in the main stream, secularists in the majority, and irreligious in their manipulations. Why else would they clamor for war? They've infiltrated both parties leaving the hapless country without a choice of political preference.

It's a matter of what flavor of Jewish control the goy gets, Republicanish yiddishee flavor? or Democratish yiddishee flavor.

Author T.S. Eliot summed it up:

The population should be homogeneous; where two or more cultures exist in the same place they are likely either to be fiercely self-conscious or both to become adulterate. What is still more important is unity of religious background, and reasons of race and religion combine to make any large number of free-thinking Jews undesirable.

What Eliot affirms is that like a mole dug into society's mold, the 'free-thinking' Jew will chew up its unity, effeminize its will, and annihilate its moral purview.

Simple-minded Gentiles will ask, "Why then are Jews so diversified in their opinions? They apparently are not united in any so-called influence peddling, are they?"

Well sure. There's Zionist Jews, anti-Zionist Jews, pro-Israel Jews, not-so-pro-Israel Jews, pro-Trump Jews, anti-Trump Jews, pro-right Jews, pro-left Jews, pro-Russia Jews, anti-Russia Jews, pro-Muslim Jews, anti-Muslim Jews, and so on and so forth.

The question has befuddled many a goy who have come under the spell of Jewish moral-high-ground posturing. For the Jew doesn't simply have a *position* per se, but superseding any given *stance* on any given subject is that the Jew dictates his position from a Lectern.

He sees himself as a 'light to the Gentiles' while enshrouding the goy in darkness.

Jews understand this device better than a goy can, simply because the Gentile just wants to live his life.

But life is not worth living for the Jew unless his mastery over the goy is comprehensive and not resisted.

(Pertinent scripture in regard to the Jew. Remember: ulterior motives and mastery over the "goy" at any cost are always his game)

John 8:44 Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.

Isaiah 57:20-21 But the wicked are like the troubled sea, when it cannot rest, whose waters cast up mire and dirt. There is no peace, saith my God, to the wicked.

Proverbs 16:4 The LORD hath made all things for himself: yea, even the wicked for the Day of Evil [judgment].

Psalm 58:3 The wicked are estranged from the womb: they go astray as soon as they be born, speaking lies.

Romans 9:22 What if God, willing to show his wrath, and to make his power known, endured with much longsuffering the vessels of wrath fitted to destruction...

Revelation 2:9 ...and I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan.

Revelation 3:9: Behold, I will make them of the synagogue of Satan, which say they are Jews [of Judah], and are not, but do lie; behold, I will make them to come and worship before thy feet, and to know that I have loved thee [and not them]. [Notes from RB]

The goy who has come under the influence of 'political correctness' — a masterful ploy of the Jew to bring the goy into a state of self-censorship — has saved the Jew many a dollar in his efforts to bind the boundaries of discourse by more expensive means.

The Jew realizes that the goyim are prone to shift from one opinion to another based on the 'Catch Phrase Of The Day.' Trump is the perfect example. One day he says, "Russia is okay," the next, "Russia is not okay." It depends on what 'Jew Counsel Of The Day' he gets.

Which brings us to a fatal conclusion, America was never great again. It didn't happen, it could not happen.

The Jew had by 1913 so definitively taken over America by bringing his printing press to the precincts of DC so that any recovery by the Gentile would take a yeoman's effort.

The goy simply didn't have it in him. He was either on the payroll of the Jew or so snowed-under that he couldn't even grasp the obvious message of Little Orphan Annie's character, Daddy Warbucks.

The rest is history, Jewish history. Perhaps the end of history for Americans.

The ruining of their country is strictly a Jewish affair.

[End of Info.]

Someone emailed me last week:

Do you understand this? It is known the Cannanite/Edomites run this country but how is there still fighting between the politicians of each party (at least at the president level) when they are on the same Team. Are they doing this to keep the facade going? Or do they really think their party is or has the potential to be in control? Did you ever wonder about this?

I replied:

Just because they are Jews does not mean they have the exact same agenda.

Just like movies like Conspiracy Theory, The Gauntlet, Three Days of the Condor, Hopscotch there can be competing factions of the enemy; different packs of wolves fighting over the same flock of sheep.

And like movies like The Italian Job or many other similar Heist movies, one group of criminals realizes that if they kill off the majority of their accomplices, there will be less people who can talk and the money won't have to be split as many ways.

Plus it acts as a distraction to the dumb sheep to keep them confused in thinking it is not all one sided, that the vote counts, gives the delusion that there are still some good politicians left because they play the good cop bad cop routine, when in reality it is bad cop badder cop.

Here is a more in-depth email I had sent out a year or so ago:

Movies like ...

"Three days of the Condor" (1975; Redford)

"The Gauntlet" (1977; Eastwood)

"Hopscotch" (1980; Matthau, which is a dramedy)

"The Man With One Red Shoe" (1985; Tom Hanks / John Belushi, which is a dramedy)

"Conspiracy Theory" (1997; Gibson)

... all clearly show that there can be competing factions in an organization like the CIA or other such groups or "governments"; the same thing occurs in "Banana Republics" and other unstable regions in the Third World in which various local gangs, drug lords, communist-funded military, etc., all compete for the same territory / oil / poppy field / town etc.

Of course these superb movies show that there is a good faction and bad faction; but in reality, for the past 100 years or so they have ALL been bad factions competing against each other, like different packs of wolves fighting over the same herd of sheep; which "Enemy of the State" (1998; Will Smith / Hackman) superbly shows.

While these are fictional movies, as Lord Byron, George Gordon said, "Truth is strange; strange indeed — stranger than fiction."

Just because two or more powerful and wealthy people / organizations are Jews doesn't mean they like each other or want to share the power/loot.

Like the excellent movie "The Italian Job" (2003; Wahlberg / Sutherland / Norton) shows, and as one of Bronson's (Buchinsky) movies was even titled, there is (no) "Honor Among Thieves" (1982 VHS title of 1968 French-Italian movie, *Adieu l'ami*, also known as Farewell, Friend,) and "The Mechanic" (1972; Bronson / Jan Michael Vincent; "Mechanic" refers to a "hit man").

Though most people don't realize it, in a lot of movies, like those Bronson or Eastwood's westerns, there really wasn't a hero, but what is called an "anti-hero". Basically, the anti-hero is a bad guy, but he fights the other bad guys to protect the innocent; not necessarily out of the goodness of his heart, but he just doesn't like the bad guys, or he had nothing better to do so he decided to do a good deed, or the bad guys inadvertently wronged him in their attempt to kill the good guys, that he takes it person and wipes out all the bad guys, not to help the innocent, but for his own reasons. This probably explains most of politics and the history of governments in their intrigue, wars, and evil.

As these movies show, once they have been successful against a common enemy or in pulling off a heist, they liquidate each other so they don't have to share the loot and power. Or, since they know they cannot trust their co-conspirators to keep their mouths shut if they get caught, or in case his co-conspirators are thinking of killing him, it turns into an unofficial game of "wack others before others have a chance to wack you".

Just because they are cut from the same cloth does not mean that they like each other or "play well together".

The Catholic church and the Jews and Islam might be considered more like three mafia families attacking each other (the upper echelons of each are reportedly run by the same cabal family, even though the underlings / pawns / foot soldiers of the three groups don't have a clue). Of course, if a group of Irish Protestants, Syrian "Christians" or American Christian patriots are standing in their way of global domination, they might have an "unofficial truce" against each other while they fight the enemy. It may seem that the Vatican does not have the troops to compete, but even as the corrupt British paid the Indians to massacre, rape, and kill white settlers in the US Colonies, don't be surprised if the Pope/Vatican doesn't tell the hundreds of millions of Hispanics that they should rise up and kill all the white people in the U.S. and Europe and that the Church would "absolve" them of their sins, because they are doing God's service in exterminating the "heretics" (remember that little thing called the "Inquisition" or "St. Bartholomew's Day Massacre"... or 1001 others tortured and burned at the stake...? -- well, most

Protestants have never read a book on the history of the Reformation or Reformers, such as Foxe's Book of Martyrs, so they probably don't remember).

Like the TV reality show survivor, or others like it, individuals may form a pact to work together to make it to the later stage of the game, working as a secret or open team to eliminate everyone else; but each knows that when it comes down to just their little group and no one else is left, it is "every man for himself"... however, some without honor (not that the others have honor either, but they are simply gullible for trusting the others) will secretly sabotage even those who are in their "pact" if they consider them a threat and feel safer helping eliminate one of his own earlier, if he thinks he can easily take care of the lesser competition later one. Backstabbing is a way of life for such.

Parasites, once the host is dead, then parasitize/predate upon each other. Tiger sharks are so vicious that often in the womb, the dominant tiger shark fetus, before even being born (they are live-bearers, not egg layers) will kill and eat all his womb-mates!

Their goal is to control all factions of all countries ... medical, law, economic, industry, government, church, etc., in each nation. But as several Jews have noted, Jews need a common enemy (or illusion thereof), without so-called anti-semitism, JUews would cease to exist, because without an outside enemy they attack each other. Once a dictator is "put in place" he then likes the power and actually thinks he is the boss, then the ones who put him in power try to kill him and he them.