

*This came to revelationoutpost.com through a Christian scholar and author who has been mentioned several times on this site. It is not a "polished" piece, but it is 100% correct in the answers it provides to someone who already understands the racial component of the Bible and is ready for a deeper level of truth. You may contact the author at stm@mounet.com.*

Someone emailed me some questions concerning the Bible and race.

He was of the mind that the Bible indeed teaches these things, so there was no need for me to give in-depth proof, as he was already aware of a lot of it; all I needed to do was answer in the affirmative or negative, and also clarify some points.

Q: Would you agree with me that the Bible does not advocate race mixing

A: not only does it not advocate, but it forbids it.

Q: and does not even condemn slavery?

A: of course

Q: That segregation, slavery and apartheid were all Bible-based, and quite correctly so?

A: of course

Q: That the Bible is undoubtedly one of the most racist books in existence?

A: that would depend on the meaning of the word "racist" (a word which continues to change meaning as the nation goes farther left) and whether the word has a pejorative connotation and who (a leftist or conservative) is answering. If the true message of the Bible were generally known, all nonwhites would call it racist (funny that the "Jews" don't get accused of racism since they spuriously "claim" the OT is their book and since the Talmud is actually theirs — and that is the reason for their smokescreen in being the ones pushing toward multiculturalism — but they don't do it because they are so egalitarian, but because they are trying to weaken Christendom so it will fall—they don't push multiculturalism in any nonwhite nation... funny that...)

Q: That it contains by my count 137 descriptions of racial and religious genocide committed on God's direct orders? That the only problem in counting them is deciding when one description stops and the next one begins, since they are virtually continuous?

A: I can't agree to a count, since I have not made one, but God is Master of His Universe, He owns all; if He declares some people are wicked and deserve to be wacked, there is no higher authority to judge or question Him. Of course, those being wacked don't think it is "fair" (but most would have no qualms about people they hate being wacked). "God hath made all things for Himself, even the wicked for the Day of Evil" (Judgment). Those who reject God as Master of His Universe or deny He exists, is because they hate Him and refuse to obey Him... thus, they invent their own title of authority to overrule God; but Psalm 2 says God shall have the last laugh. Also, I would not use the term "religious" genocide, which only muddies the water. God commanded the extermination or driving out of the Canaanites and other peoples because they were evil people, evil to their core / nature, unreformable — forever. God also commanded the execution of His own people who engaged in any form of idolatry or worshipping false gods. Christ himself will "continue and complete the trend" upon His Return! So it is not something "passé" or something merely "Old Testament" or that God has "changed" and "loves everyone now". God is Love, Blessing, etc. for His people; God is Wrath, Vengeance, Judgment, and Hate on His enemies — whom He created to be His enemies (He determined that His Drama would have villains). God commands us to hate evil. "Ye that Love the Lord, hate evil." "To love the Lord is to hate evil". While it is possible to hate evil without loving the Lord (some people have different motivation, and yet don't love God; they may hate evil only because it has adversely affected them). God is not the by-product of man's hate, so "to hate evil is to love the Lord" is not a valid converse of the Bible passage. If we love God, if we understand Holiness and Goodness — as HE defines it (which is the only valid definition, any other notions being sinful humanistic delusions and rebellion against truth) — then we will hate evil in any form, realizing that it is an affront to Him, that it has no place in moral, godly society, and that evil's only purpose is to destroy good and that any camaraderie or fellowship is a one-way street toward destruction. God commands us to hate even our own family if they hate God or stand in the way of our living as He commanded. God does not merely "hate the sin but love the sinner" — which notion was popularized by the HINDU Gandhi (in his autobiography), and is not a Christian teaching. Gandhi seems to have plagiarized it from Horatius Bonar, Scots theologian who uses the phrase in his *The Everlasting Righteousness* (though Bonar should have known better). God says that He hates the soul that sinneth (not merely the sin). Sin is not a free-floating phenomena; it does not exist outside a body. It is not the "sin" that God will cast into Hell, but the unconverted sinner. When "Christians" fashion their foundation of theological truth (if it can even be called that) and the foundation is crooked and unlevel and out of square and has bumps and dips in it, there is no way that the building can be true. A building built on a psychotic, erratic, false foundation — or even sand, will be a "fun-house", which really is not very "fun" once the destiny is understood. Such explains the majority of mainstream Christianity (and probably the majority of non-mainstream Christianity; Mainstream Christianity certainly does not have exclusive copyright to heresy and false doctrine); it is built on emotionalism and humanism and thus, very little build upon such a structure can ever be sound; though it is true that even a blind squirrel finds a nut every now and then, accidentally, every now and then, the heretics get something right, not due to any goodness or wisdom in themselves, but simply due to the law of averages. If you bet on "Red 7" every

time, you will eventually hit (if your money does not run out first). If you bet randomly your chances of hitting go down, but still exist. If you place 20 different bets on the same wheel, your chances of hitting go up a certain %. Regardless, I am not encouraging gambling, but the parallel to Apostate Christianity is there — in fact, the world-loving heresies are not even a gamble — damnation is pretty much a "sure thing". Regardless, the point is that just because the Apostate Church happens to believe a few valid doctrines does not validate them; and just because they may believe some things in common with a true Christian, does not validate them; any truths that they hold are utterly unrelated to all their falsehood — and if the counterfeit did not at least have a token appearance as being original, who would ever believe the counterfeit was authentic? Also, people quite often believe things that may be true, not because they are true, but because it benefits them in some way, or for some other ulterior motive. Fool's gold looks like real gold to the untrained eye.

Q: That modern Christianity is simply Communism-lite?

A: Exactamundo. But more precisely, it is antichristianity — the very opposite of what Christ commanded ... instead of "give not that which is holy to the dogs, cast not pearls before swine, it is not meet to take the children's bread and give it to the dogs" — modern "Christians" have either —

1. the dogs and children at the table as equals;
2. the children under the table awaiting crumbs and the dogs at the table as the master's heirs;
3. the dogs and master's children breeding with each other on top of the table thinking the master will be so pleased when he returns home.